



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,838	10/04/2000	Jun Hyeong Lee	IPS-003	4862
34610	7590	06/18/2004	EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			LEE, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004				9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/678,838

Applicant(s)

LEE, JUN HYEONG

Examiner

M. Lee

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2003.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 11, 14, 15, 27-31, 33, 39-47, 49 and 55-58 is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) 12, 13, 16-26, 32, 34-38, 48, 50-54 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki et al. (4,827,251).

Regarding claim 11, Aoki discloses an OSD generator (21,22), and a guideline generator (3).

Regarding claim 14, see Figure 8.

Regarding claim 15, see Figure 8.

Art Unit: 2614

3. Claims 27-31, 33, 39-47, 49, 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohara et al. (6,084,564).

Regarding claim 27, Ohara shows a means for generating an on-screen display (13), and a means for generating an indicator (10) on the screen. The indicator 10 changes in accordance with the changing of the scroll bar 13.

Regarding claim 28, the scroll bar 13 meets the statistical indication of the screen parameter adjustment as claimed.

Regarding claim 29, see scroll bar 13.

Regarding claim 30, the star 10 meets the guideline as claimed.

Regarding claim 31, the star image 10 partially surrounds slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 33, see star image 10.

Regarding claim 39, the scroll bar 13 clearly meets the means for receiving a signal indicative of the screen parameter adjustment from a user operating a control menu of the display because this bar is controlled by a user and it is displayed on a screen.

Regarding claim 40, see star 10.

Regarding claim 41, the star 10 has a dark or black background.

Regarding claim 42, the black point in Ohara relates to the brightness of the screen.

Regarding claim 43, Ohara shows a means for receiving a signal for adjusting a screen parameter (13a), means for generating an on-screen display to provide a statistical indication of the screen parameter adjustment in response to the signal

Art Unit: 2614

(position of slider 13a over slider bar 13 indicates adjustment value), and means for generating an indicator (10) on the display to provide a visual indication of a result of the screen parameter adjustment.

Regarding claim 44, see slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 45, see slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 46, star 10 meets the guideline as claimed.

Regarding claim 47, the star image 10 partially surrounds slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 49, see star image 10.

Regarding claim 55, the screen parameter adjustment signal is generated by the slider bar 13 activated by the user.

Regarding claim 56, see star 10.

Regarding claim 57, the star 10 has a dark or black background.

Regarding claim 58, the black point in Ohara relates to the brightness of the screen.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-10 are allowed.
5. Claims 12, 13, 16-26, 32, 34-38, 48, 50-54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is **703-305-4743**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John Miller**, can be reached at **703-305-4795**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2614

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



**M. Lee
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614**

June 7, 2004

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki et al. (4,827,251).

Regarding claim 11, Aoki discloses an OSD generator (21,22), and a guideline generator (3).

Regarding claim 14, see Figure 8.

Regarding claim 15, see Figure 8.

Art Unit: 2614

3. Claims 27-31, 33, 39-47, 49, 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohara et al. (6,084,564).

Regarding claim 27, Ohara shows a means for generating an on-screen display (13), and a means for generating an indicator (10) on the screen. The indicator 10 changes in accordance with the changing of the scroll bar 13.

Regarding claim 28, the scroll bar 13 meets the statistical indication of the screen parameter adjustment as claimed.

Regarding claim 29, see scroll bar 13.

Regarding claim 30, the star 10 meets the guideline as claimed.

Regarding claim 31, the star image 10 partially surrounds slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 33, see star image 10.

Regarding claim 39, the scroll bar 13 clearly meets the means for receiving a signal indicative of the screen parameter adjustment from a user operating a control menu of the display because this bar is controlled by a user and it is displayed on a screen.

Regarding claim 40, see star 10.

Regarding claim 41, the star 10 has a dark or black background.

Regarding claim 42, the black point in Ohara relates to the brightness of the screen.

Regarding claim 43, Ohara shows a means for receiving a signal for adjusting a screen parameter (13a), means for generating an on-screen display to provide a statistical indication of the screen parameter adjustment in response to the signal

Art Unit: 2614

(position of slider 13a over slider bar 13 indicates adjustment value), and means for generating an indicator (10) on the display to provide a visual indication of a result of the screen parameter adjustment.

Regarding claim 44, see slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 45, see slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 46, star 10 meets the guideline as claimed.

Regarding claim 47, the star image 10 partially surrounds slider bar 13.

Regarding claim 49, see star image 10.

Regarding claim 55, the screen parameter adjustment signal is generated by the slider bar 13 activated by the user.

Regarding claim 56, see star 10.

Regarding claim 57, the star 10 has a dark or black background.

Regarding claim 58, the black point in Ohara relates to the brightness of the screen.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-10 are allowed.
5. Claims 12, 13, 16-26, 32, 34-38, 48, 50-54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is **703-305-4743**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John Miller**, can be reached at **703-305-4795**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2614

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



**M. Lee
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614**

June 7, 2004